

Short-term outcomes of mini-sling *versus* transobturator tape in the surgical management of women with stress urinary incontinence. A randomized controlled trial

Ahmed Emam, Khaled Teama, Tarek Salem

Department of Urology, Ain Shams University, Cairo, Egypt

Abstract

The objective of this prospective randomized study was to assess the efficacy and safety of mini-sling in the management of female stress urinary incontinence (SUI) as compared to transobturator tape (TOT). A total of 42 female patients with SUI were included in this study. Patients were randomized into two equal

Correspondence: Ahmed Emam, Department of Urology, Ain Shams University, 38 Abbasia, Cairo, 11591, Egypt. Tel.: +201228770965. E-mail: Ahmed_emam@med.asu.edu.eg

Key words: mini-sling, transobturator tape, stress urinary incontinence.

Contributions: AE, study conception and design, manuscript writing and editing, cases performance and data analysis; KT, study conception and design, case performance, manuscript editing; TS, manuscript writing, cases performance and data collection.

Conflict of interest: the authors declare no potential conflict of interest.

Ethics approval and consent to participate: the study has been approved by the institutional research ethics committee.

Informed consent: informed consent was obtained from all individual participants in this study.

Funding: none.

Availability of data and materials: the study's data is available upon request.

Additional information: clinical trial registration number - NCT06334848.

Received: 10 July 2024. Accepted: 29 November 2024.

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution NonCommercial 4.0 License (CC BY-NC 4.0).

©Copyright: the Author(s), 2024 Licensee PAGEPress, Italy Urogynaecologia 2024; 36:334 doi:10.4081/uij.2024.334

Publisher's note: all claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article or claim that may be made by its manufacturer is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

groups, underwent either the TOT or the mini-sling procedure, and were followed up for 6 months. Compared to the TOT group, the mini-sling group had a statistically significant shorter operative time, less estimated blood loss, and a lower postoperative pain score. There was no statistically significant difference between both groups as regards postoperative fever, hospital stay, or vaginal erosion. The International Continence Index questionnaire showed a statistically significant improvement within each group after surgery, with the TOT group showing a statistically significant marginal improvement compared to the mini-sling group. Urodynamic testing showed no statistically significant difference between both groups regarding the first sensation of bladder filling, functional bladder capacity, maximal bladder capacity, postvoiding residual urine, and maximal flow rate. Both minislings and TOT were found to be safe and effective in treating female SUI with no clinically significant difference between both procedures.

Introduction

Stress urinary incontinence (SUI) is a prevalent problem that impacts women's quality of life. It is defined by the International Continence Society as the involuntary loss of urine on effort, physical exertion, coughing, or sneezing.¹ The estimated prevalence for SUI is 24-45% in women over 30 years.² Diabetes, pregnancy, childbirth, and a higher body mass index (BMI) have been associated with an increased incidence of urinary incontinence.³

According to the prevailing theory, SUI is caused by a combination of weakening of the pelvic floor, bladder neck, and urethral sphincter muscles, as well as disruption of their supportive connective tissues. This lowers the urethral closure pressure and abdominal leak point pressure. Urethral hypermobility, which is associated with impaired anatomical support of the bladder neck and proximal urethra, is hypothesized to interfere with the transfer of pressure to the urethra, resulting in a reduction in extrinsic closure force, and eventually urine leakage.⁴

Both nonsurgical (*e.g.*, lifestyle adjustments, weight loss, Kegel exercises, vaginal pessaries or cones, and medications) in addition to surgical options are available.⁵ The transobturator midurethral tape (TOT) was developed to reduce the prevalence of bladder, bowel, and major vascular injuries associated with traditional retropubic tapes.⁶ Mid-urethral slings are currently the gold standard for the treatment of SUI in women.⁷ A new generation of tapes known as single incision tapes or mini-slings was introduced in an effort to maintain the effectiveness of the treatment while minimizing the risk of some of the unintended side effects. Since only a single vaginal incision is made and a short tape is used that does not pass through the retropubic or obturator spaces, there is a significantly reduced risk of nerve or vessel injury as well as groin pain.^{7,8} Clinical trials have demonstrated the safety and efficacy of multi-incision slings, with a success rate of 70-80% in one year. Mini-slings' efficacy and safety for female SUI have not been adequately studied. Currently, it is uncertain how safe and successful mini-slings are for treating SUI in comparison to multi-incision slings.⁹ The purpose of this research was to assess the safety and efficacy of mini-sling in the management of SUI in women as compared to TOT.

Materials and Methods

After obtaining institutional ethical approval, this prospective randomized controlled study was conducted on 42 female patients with SUI or mixed urine incontinence, in which SUI was the dominant symptom and confirmed by a positive cough test. Patients were randomized into two equal groups and underwent either TOT or mini-sling between March 2021 and March 2023 (Figure 1). Women were not eligible for the study if they were older than 60 years old, were pregnant or planning to become pregnant, had previously undergone surgery for incontinence or pelvic organ prolapse (POP), had previously undergone pelvic irradiation, were receiving treatment with corticoids, had over 100 mL of postvoiding residual urine volume (PVRU), suffered from neurological conditions, for instance, multiple sclerosis, or had a history of genital, abdominal or pelvic cancer.

A comprehensive medical history was obtained, and a general and local pelvic examination was undertaken, including the presence and degree of any POP. Two expert surgeons performed the surgical procedures outlined below using DynaMesh[®] (Aachen, Germany) for the mini-sling and TOT. DynaMesh is made from polyvinylidene fluoride monofilament. All DynaMesh[®] implants are directly knitted and not cut from a flat mesh with a pore size of about 1.1 mm.¹⁰

Preoperative assessment

Table 1. Characteristics of the patients.

Preoperatively, all women were assessed for the presentation, duration, and severity of SUI through the International Consultation on Incontinence Questionnaire (ICIQ): the patient was asked about the type of urinary incontinence, how often the urine leaks, how much urine leaks, and how much the leaking urine interferes with daily life. With the Female Sexual Function Index (FSFI), the patient was asked about arousal, desire, lubrication, orgasm, satisfaction, and pain. Besides, patients were evaluated for associated genitourinary or neurological conditions and bowel habits. General medical, obstetric, and gynecological histories were evaluated as well. All women underwent routine physical examination and preoperative laboratory assessment, including



complete blood count, liver and renal functions, bleeding profile, and urine culture. Abdominopelvic ultrasonography was performed for all women to assess postvoid residual urine. All patients underwent urodynamic testing preoperatively for study purposes.

Interventions

In both groups, patients received prophylactic antibiotics 1 hour before the procedure (1 gm 3rd-generation cephalosporin intravenously). Foley's catheter (16 Fr) was inserted while the patient was in the lithotomy position and under spinal anesthesia. Labia were retraced using a 3.0 silk stay suture. The anterior vaginal wall was suspended with Allis clamps placed on either side of the midline, and hydrodissection of the vaginal mucosa was performed. The vaginal wall was incised 1 cm below the urethral meatus and 1 cm along the sagittal line.

As far as the surgical technique of mini-sling is concerned, the self-fixating mini-sling's tip was attached to a narrow mesh carrier, specifically made for introducing the device. The tip of the mesh was inserted into the obturator internus muscle after the tool and mesh were passed into the vagina. After that, the device was taken out, and the mesh was fixed to the muscle. The steps were repeated on the opposite side. Once the installation was finished, the mesh lay like a hammock beneath the urethra. The vaginal incisions were closed with an interrupted 3-0 Vicryl suture. The urethral



Figure 1. Consort flow diagram. TOT, transobturator tape.

		TOT group (n=21)	Mini-sling group (n=21)	
Age (years)	Mean ± SD Median (IQR) Range	48.05±5.43 49 (44-52) 38-58	47.19±5.16 46 (44-51) 35-55	0.603
Parity	Mean ± SD Median (IQR) Range	3.76±1.41 4 (3-4) 1-7	3.86±1.28 4 (3-4) 2-8	0.82
BMI (kg/m²)	Mean ± SD Median (IQR) Range	26.88±2.12 26.8 (25.4-27.8) 23-30.2	26.05±1.82 25.8 (25.1-27.1) 22.8-31.1	0.182
Diabetes mellitus	Number (%)	3 (14)	4 (19)	0.5

SD, standard deviation; IQR, interquartile range; BMI, body mass index; TOT, transobturator tape.



catheter was attached to closed-bag drainage, and the vagina was packed with a povidone-iodine-soaked pack.

For what concerns the surgical technique of TOT, using Mayo scissors, the vagina was freed on both sides of the urethra over about 15 mm breadth, ending at the ischiopubic ramus. At the intersection of two lines (the horizontal one going by the clitoris and the vertical one representing the thigh crease), a puncture incision was performed. The needle was inserted into the skin incision until it penetrated the obturator membrane. Subsequently, the needle was rotated to a horizontal orientation, whereby the handle was directed towards the medial aspect. Steering the needle along the ischiopubic ramus while keeping constant contact with it was the safest method. The same steps were repeated on the other side, with the tape being gently inserted behind the urethra without any tension, producing a small gap between the tape and the urethra, measuring a few millimeters. This was achieved by inserting a mayo scissor between the tape and the urethra. A 3-0 vicryl suture was used to close the skin and vaginal incisions in subcuticular and interrupted fashions, respectively. The vagina was packed with a povidone-iodine-soaked pack, and the urethral catheter was connected to closed-bag drainage.

Women in both groups received routine postoperative care, and the vaginal pack and catheter were removed 12 hours after the operation in uneventful cases.

Postoperative assessment

A negative cough-stress test was defined as the absence of urine leakage in the supine and standing positions with a 300-mL saline-filled bladder. In addition, the procedures were compared regarding operative time, complications, and postoperative pain. Surgery failure was defined as the need for a second procedure for either persistent symptomatic SUI or management of complications, including complete removal of the initial mesh. Postoperative pain was measured by the Numerical Rating Scale (NRS). Intraoperative blood loss was calculated using blood in the suction device and 30 cc per blood-soaked gauze. ICIQ, FSFI, and a postoperative urodynamic study were repeated at the 6-month appointment.

Table 2. Perioperative data.

Results

There was no statistically significant difference between both groups as regards age, BMI, parity, and diabetes mellitus (Table 1), and none of our cases had POP.

Compared to the TOT group, the mini-sling group had a statistically significant shorter operative time (7 *versus* 12 minutes, p<0.001), less estimated blood loss (EBL) (12 *versus* 60 mL, p<0.001), and a lower postoperative pain score (3 *versus* 4, p=0.001). Meanwhile, there was no statistically significant difference between both groups as regards postoperative fever, hospital stay, or vaginal erosion (Table 2). Concerning early complications, re-catheterization was not needed in any patient as there was no urinary retention. Postoperative wound infection was not found in any case.

ICIQ showed a statistically significant improvement of continence within each group after surgery, with the TOT group showing a statistically significant marginal improvement compared to the mini-sling group. Meanwhile, there was no statistically significant change in FSFI within each group or between both groups (Table 3).

There was no statistically significant difference in Valsalva leak point pressure between the TOT and the mini-sling groups before surgery (73 ± 25 versus 79 ± 27 cm H2O, respectively, p=0.46). Also, urodynamic testing showed no statistically significant difference within each group before or after surgery or between both groups with regard to the first sensation of bladder filling, functional bladder capacity, maximal bladder capacity, PVRU, and maximal flow rate (Qmax) (Table 4).

Discussion

Urinary incontinence is a major problem that impacts the different financial, social, and private aspects of a woman's life. Over the years, several surgical procedures have been performed, such as urethral bulking agents, bladder neck suspension, anterior vaginal wall repair, autologous sling, and mesh tapes. Due to their high success rates, mid-urethral slings are considered the first surgical option. With an 80% success rate, this minimally invasive procedure has a very low risk of recurrent symptoms and urinary dysfunction.¹¹

		TOT group (n=21)	Mini-sling group (n=21)	р	
Operative time (min)	Mean ± SD Median (IQR) Range	11.9±4.23 12 (10-14) 5-21	6.95±1.4 7 (6-8) 4-10	<0.001	
Estimated blood loss (mL)	Mean ± SD Median (IQR) Range	59.52±47.27 50 (30-70) 10-200	12.14±6.04 <0.001 10 (10-15) 5-30		
Numerical rating pain score	$Mean \pm SD$	4.1±1.64	2.57±0.93	0.001	
	Median (IQR)	4 (3-6)	3 (2-3)		
	Range	2-6	1-5		
Postoperative fever (>37.8 C), n (%)		4 (19.05)	1 (4.76)	0.153	
Hospital stay (days)	Mean ± SD Median (IQR) Range	1.48±0.68 1 (1-2) 1-3	1.19±0.4 1 (1-1) 1-2	0.107	
Vaginal erosion	Number (%)	1 (4.76)	0 (0.00)	0.311	

SD, standard deviation; IQR, interquartile range; TOT, transobturator tape.



The severity of SUI has been evaluated using different methods. The number of daily pads used has shown issues related to variations in volume. While 1-hour pad tests are most suited for establishing an initial diagnosis, 24-hour pad weight tests are most often used to evaluate treatment outcomes.¹² However, these are cumbersome and require a high degree of patient compliance. In our study, SUI was assessed subjectively using ICIQ and objectively using a cough stress test. A good correlation between the ICIO and the 24-hour pad test has been documented by other studies.^{13,14} In our study, both TOT and min-sling proved to be safe and effective in treating SUI. The subjective improvement (ICIQ score) was slightly higher after TOT than after mini-sling procedures. In agreement with our study, a systematic review and metaanalysis showed that mini-slings were associated with inferior subjective and objective (negative cough stress test) cure rates when compared to standard mid-urethral slings in the short-term followup.15 However, at their midterm follow-up, there was no evidence

of significant differences in patient-reported and objective cure between both procedures in agreement with other studies.¹⁶⁻²⁰

In this study, the min-sling group had less EBL and shorter operative time compared with TOT, which is in agreement with similar studies.^{18,20} Meanwhile, other studies observed only a shorter operative time with no difference in EBL.^{19,21}

The most frequent complications associated with surgical mesh slings for SUI repair, in descending order of frequency, include pain, mesh erosion through the vagina (also known as extrusion or exposure), infection, urinary problems, recurrent SUI, dyspareunia, bleeding, organ perforation, and neuro-muscular problems.⁹ In our study, the mini-sling group had lower postoperative pain scores as compared to the TOT group, in agreement with the findings of similar studies.¹⁷⁻²⁰ This may be attributed to the passage of trocars through adductor muscles and the presence of three incisions (two in the groin, one vaginal) in TOT, which is not present in mini-sling.¹⁷ On the other hand, Chang *et al.* reported no

Table 3. Patient-reported functional outcomes.

		TOT group (n=21)		Mini-sling group (n=21)		р
		Before	After	Before	After	
ICIQ	Mean \pm SD	13.2±2.59	8.8±2.04	12.81±2.78	8.79±2.05	< 0.001
	Median (IQR)	13.2 (12-14.6)	9 (8-10.1)	13.2 (11.5-14.8)	8.7 (7.9-10.5)	
	Range	7.4-19.2	4.2-11.8	6.7-17.1	4-12	
	Change	-4.4	-4.4		-4.02	
	р	< 0.00	< 0.001		<0.001	
FSFI	Mean \pm SD	49.38±12.88	52.51±11.93	50.82±13.14	53.95±13.09	0.677
	Median (IQR)	47.6 (41-57.7)	53.8 (48.9-56.7)	53.2 (42.2-61.7)	53.9 (45.1-61)	
	Range	27.1-73.9	28.9-78.7	23.4-72	18.2-73.7	
	Change	3.13	3.13			
	р	0.418	0.443			

SD, standard deviation; IQR, interquartile range; ICIQ, International Continence Index Questionnaire; FSFI, Female Sexual Function Index; TOT, transobturator tape.

Table 4. Urodynamic findings before and after surgery.

		TOT group (n=21)		Mini-sling group (n=21)		р
		Before	After	Before	After	
First sensation of bladder filling (mL)	Mean ± SD Median (IQR) Range Change p	187.1±47.42 182 (156.6-222.9) 105.4-261.6 -8.1 0.58	178.94±48.06 169.5 (148-210) 87.7-312 6 3	174±47.86 175.7 (136-191.9) 66.1-294.9 13.7 0.35	187.75±47.72 188.8 (155.7-231.8) 84.3-281.3	0.748
Functional bladder capacity (mL)	Mean ± SD Median (IQR) Range Change p	281.86±44.2 280.6 (263-303.5) 208.7-372.5 11.5 0.37	293.42±39.27 301.5 (276.2-314) 209.1-382.4 6 5	275.03±59.62 277.4 (235.8-310.1) 160.2-371.6 15.3 0.34	290.34 ±43.58 307.8 (259.4-322.9) 220.3-356	0.584
Maximal bladder capacity (mL)	Mean ± SD Median (IQR) Range Change p	359.4±43.2 367.2 (312.5-388.1) 295.5-435.8 9.62 0.41	369.02±32.21 367.8 (349.6-392.3) 296.4-441 8	348.49±50.49 351.3 (328.9-384.1) 231.1-430.7 20.69 0.14	369.18±39.56 374.7 (348-393.1) 263.7-427.6	0.332
PVRU (mL)	Mean ± SD Median (IQR) Range Change p	86.29±17.79 86 (73-101) 57-115 -9.6 0.09	76.67±18.38 78 (65-92) 41-104 2	90.24±26.52 85 (79-102) 40-159 -13.4 0.06	76.76±18.55 79 (66-91) 30-106 8	0.08
Qmax (mL/sec)	Mean ± SD Median (IQR) Range Change p	24.37±8.35 26.8 (19.2-29) 3.3-37.5 1.79 0.44	26.16±6.58 25.6 (22.1-29.7) 13.5-39.3	26.59±8.27 25.3 (22.2-30.6) 8.3-41 0.63 0.78	27.22±6.73 28.2 (25.2-30.4) 14.6-37.7	0.647

SD, standard deviation; IQR, interquartile range; TOT, transobturator tape; PVRU, postvoiding residual urine; Qmax, maximal flow rate.



significant difference in pain scores between the two procedures.²¹

Mesh exposure after vaginal sling procedures is a frustrating but uncommon complication. Similar to the study conducted by Kokanali *et al.*,²² mesh exposure occurred in 1/21 (4.7%) of the TOT group in our study, but not in any cases in the mini-sling group. This difference was not statistically significant. Cases who were more than 60 years old, recurrent, had previously undergone pelvic irradiation, or were on corticoid therapy were initially excluded from both groups to avoid potential bias that may arise from previously identified risk factors associated with a higher chance of mesh erosion.²² Similarly, Maturana *et al.* found no differences in the rates of tape vaginal erosion and postoperative fever between both groups.²³

Also, there was no statistically significant change in the FSFI within each group or between both groups, which is in agreement with the findings of the studies done by Emami *et al.*,²⁰ and AbdelFattah *et al.*²⁴

Urodynamic testing showed no statistically significant difference within each group before or after surgery or between both groups regarding first sensation of bladder filling, functional bladder capacity, maximal bladder capacity, PVRU, and Qmax. Similar results were obtained by other studies.^{20,25}

The current study was limited by being a single-center study with a short-term follow-up. Further comparative studies with larger sample sizes and longer follow-ups are still needed.

Conclusions

Both mini-slings and TOT were found to be safe and effective in treating female SUI. Mini-sling has the advantage of being less invasive with a shorter operative time, less EBL, and less postoperative pain.

References

- 1. Haylen BT, de Ridder D, Freeman RM, et al. An International Urogynecological Association (IUGA)/International Continence Society (ICS) joint report on the terminology for female pelvic floor dysfunction. Int Urogynecol J 2010;21:5-26.
- Khandelwal C, Kistler C. Diagnosis of urinary incontinence. Am Fam Physician 2013;87:543-50
- 3. Tran LN, Puckett Y. Urinary incontinence. Treasure Island (FL), USA: StatPearls; 2024.
- Falah-Hassani K, Reeves J, Shiri R, et al. The pathophysiology of stress urinary incontinence: a systematic review and metaanalysis. Int Urogynecol J 2021;32:501-52.
- Kowalik CG, Dmochowski RR, De EJB, Surgery for female SUI: the ICI algorithm. Neurourol Urodyn 2019;38:S21-7.
- midurethral slings in the treatment of female stress urinary incontinence: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials of effectiveness. Eur Urol 2007;52:663-78.
- Kim A, Kim S, Kim HG. Current overview of surgical options for female stress urinary incontinence. Int Neurourol J 2020;24:222-30.
- Nambiar A, Cody JD, Jeffery ST, Aluko P. Single-incision sling operations for urinary incontinence in women. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2017;7:CD008709.
- 9. FDA. He Stress urinary incontinence: surgical mesh considerations and recommendations. 2019. Available from:

https://www.fda.gov/medical-devices/urogynecologic-surgical-mesh-implants/stress-urinary-incontinence-surgical-meshconsiderations-and-recommendations

- Naumann G, Albrich S, Skala C, et al. Single-incision slings (SIS) – a new option for the surgical treatment of female stress urinary incontinence. Geburtshilfe Frauenheilkd 2012;72:125-31.
- 11. Cox A, Herschorn S, Lee L. Surgical management of female SUI: is there a gold standard? Nat Rev Urol 2013;10:78-89.
- 12. Krhut J, Zachoval R, Smith PP, et al. Pad weight testing in the evaluation of urinary incontinence. Neurourol Urodynam 2014;33:507-10.
- Karantanis E, Fynes M, Moore KH, Stanton SL. Comparison of the ICIQ-SF and 24-hour pad test with other measures for evaluating the severity of urodynamic stress incontinence. Int Urogynecol J Pelvic Floor Dysfunct 2004;15:111-6.
- Tienza A, Graham PL, Robles JE, et al. Daily pad usage versus the international consultation on incontinence questionnaire short form for continence assessment following radical prostatectomy. Int Neurourol J 2020;24:156-62.
- 15. Incision mini-slings versus standard midurethral slings in surgical management of female stress urinary incontinence: a meta-analysis of effectiveness and complications. Eur Urol 2011;60:468-80.
- 16. Mostafa A, Lim CP, Hopper L, et al. Single-incision minislings versus standard midurethral slings in surgical management of female stress urinary incontinence: an updated systematic review and meta-analysis of effectiveness and complications. Eur Urol 2014;65:402-27.
- 17. Maghawry Maghawry El-Sayed M, Abd El-Salam Wafa Y, El-Said Kamel A. Single-incision mini sling compared with transobturator sling for treating stress urinary incontinence in women. Al-Azhar Med J 2022;51:2197-216.
- Karataş S, Tekelioğlu M, Çift T, et al. The comparison of adjustable single-incision mini-sling and transobturator tape for the treatment of stress urinary incontinence. Jarem 2020;10:36-40.
- Rudnicki M, von Bothmer-Ostling K, Holstad A, et al. Adjustable mini-sling compared with conventional mid-urethral slings in women with urinary incontinence. A randomized controlled trial. Acta Obstet Gynecol Scand 2017;96:1347-56.
- Emami M, Momtazan A, Maghsoudi R, et al. Transobturator tape and mini-sling methods in stress urinary incontinence: Results of a randomized clinical trial. Urologia 2019;86:152-5.
- Chang CP, Chang WH, Hsu YM, et al. Comparison of singleincision mini-slings (Ajust) and standard transobturator midurethral slings (Align) in the management of female stress urinary incontinence: a 1-year follow-up. Taiwan J Obstet Gynecol 2015;54:726-30.
- Kokanali MK, Doğanay M, Aksakal O, et al. Risk factors for mesh erosion after vaginal sling procedures for urinary incontinence. Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol 2014;177:146-50.
- 23. Maturana AP, Palos CC, Ghersel FR, et al. Randomized controlled trial comparing mini-sling with transobturator sling for the treatment of stress urinary incontinence. Int Urogynecol J 2020;31:1925-31.
- Abdel-Fattah M, Cooper D, Davidson T, et al. Single-incision mini-slings versus standard synthetic mid-urethral slings for surgical treatment of stress urinary incontinence in women: the SIMS RCT. Health Technol Assess 2022;26:1-190.
- Hasan MRA, AbdelMagid ME, Tagreda IA. Sub-urethral mini sling versus transobturator vaginal tape for treatment of female stress urinary incontinence, one year follow-up. Egypt J Hospital Med 2019;76:3176-83.